Maintaining research integrity and ethics

Susan Veldsman Director Scholarly Publishing Programme Academy of Science of South Africa (ASSAf) susan@assaf.org.za





Artificial Intelligence (AI) and associated tools and policies in editorial decision making

Africa's push to regulate AI starts now

Al is expanding across the continent and new policies are taking shape. But poor digital infrastructure and regulatory bottlenecks could slow adoption.



https://www.technologyreview.com/2024/03/15/10898 44/africa-ai-artificial-intelligence-regulation-au-policy

AUDA-NEPAD Artificial Intelligence is at the core of discussions in Rwanda as the AU High-Level Panel on Emerging Technologies

convenes experts to draft the AU-AI

Continental Strategy



https://nepad.org/

Who We Are Mandate

Results



Draft Continental Artificial Intelligence Strategy

Harnessing AI for Africa's Development and Prosperity

https://www.linkedin.com/posts/mohamed-said-570bb bb1_draft-continental-ai-strategy-harnessing-activity-7 197205381717061633-zG64/



Artificial intelligence in scholarly publishing

Al uses:

- Authors/Researchers
 - Improve preparation of manuscripts and articles
 - Tools: writing, references, statistical analysis
- Editors/Publishers
 - Screen submissions for problems, eg plagiarism, image manipulation, ethical issues, validate references, etc



ChatGPT

- Researchers began experimenting with ChatGPT (AI tool) when it was released in Nov 2022
- Natural processing tool designed to stimulate human conversation in response to prompts on questions
- Looking at ways how they could benefit, and how it could support writing systematic reviews, literature searches, summarising academic articles, etc
- Many publishers wanted to reject before the trend gained traction
- Other publishers drafted guidelines on the proper use of this technology
- This presentation is aimed at discussing and gaining clarity on various issues such as editorial and publishing policies, authorship, various role players in the editorial process, and a call for transparency in editorial policies





https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/1grcEfjNrugDhzFBWQq-LK9BsmZx9qCd8/edit#slide=id.g2e0b594549f_0_245

Editorial Policies

Disclaimer: The following policies of the South African Journal of Science are intended to guide authors and reviewers of our Journal. While other journals are welcome to adapt and implement these policies, they do so at their own discretion and assume full responsibility for any consequences arising from their adoption. When using or adapting any of our policies or guidelines, please include an acknowledgement in your policy, along the lines of: This policy has been reproduced/adapted from the <u>South African Journal of</u> <u>Science</u>. The <u>South African Journal of Science</u> and its publisher, the Academy of Science of South Africa (ASSAf), accept no responsibility for the accuracy, applicability, or outcomes resulting from the use of these policies by other journals.

Index

AI and large language models Appeals Authorship changes Complaints Conflicts of interest Confidentiality Corrections Data publishing ethics Discussion of unpublished material Inclusive language Inclusivity and accessibility Media embargoes Peer review editing Peer review mentoring Peer review process Peer review report publication Plagiarism Dranninte

SUBMIT

SIGN UP













South African Journal of Science <u>https://sajs.co.za/editorial-policies</u>



Chatbots, Generative AI, and Scholarly Manuscripts

WAME Recommendations on Chatbots and Generative Artificial Intelligence in Relation to Scholarly Publications

Revised May 31, 2023

https://wame.org/page2.php?id=106

Authorship and AI tools

https://publicationethics.org/cope-position-statements/ai-author

COPE position statement

The use of artificial intelligence (AI) tools such as ChatGPT or Large Language Models in research publications is expanding rapidly. COPE joins organisations, such as <u>WAME</u> and the <u>JAMA Network</u> among others, to state that AI tools cannot be listed as an author of a paper.



Comparison of AI editorial policies amongst major publishers

Editorial policy of journals in relation to AI: cases ELSEVIER, SPRINGER NATURE and TAYLOR & FRANCIS

[Painting by Ricardo Tavira, DGBSDI-UNAM]

Sources:

- 1. https://newsroom.taylorandfrancisgroup.com/taylor-francis-clarifies-the-responsible-use-of-ai-tools-in-academic-content-creation/
- 2. https://www.springer.com/de/editorial-policies/artificial-intelligence--ai-/25428500
- 3. https://www.elsevier.com/about/policies/publishing-ethics-books/the-use-of-ai-and-ai-assisted-technologies-in-writing-for-elsevier

Elsevier	
Uso de IA en el Proceso de Escritura	 La lA generativa y las tecnologías asistidas por lA deben usarse para mejorar la legibilidad y el lenguaje del trabajo.



https://www.science.org/content/page/science-journals-editorial-policies#:~:text=AI%2Dgenerated%20images%20and%20other,explicit%20permi ssion%20from%20the%20editors.

Science Journals: Artificial intelligence(AI).Text generated from AI, machine learning, or similar algorithmic tools cannot be used in papers published in science journals, nor can the accompanying figures, images, or graphics be the products of such tools, without explicit permission from the editors. In addition, an AI program cannot be an author of a Science journal paper.





Nature: Al Authorship Large Language Models (LLMs), such as ChatGPT, do not currently satisfy our authorship criteria. Notably, an attribution of authorship carries with it accountability for the work, which cannot be effectively applied to LLMs. Use of an LLM should be properly documented in the Methods section (and if a Methods section is not available, in a suitable alternative part) of the manuscript.

https://www.nature.com/nature-portfolio/editorial-policies/ai



Authorship (1)

- All authors are fully responsible for the originality, validity, and integrity of the content of their manuscript/s
- Authors have to indicate that it is their original work and does not contain any unlawful content and does not infringe any existing third-party copyright, moral right or other intellectual property rights
- The work of others has been appropriately attributed
- Al-generated content will not be considered for publication. Any submission found to include Al-generated content will be declined.



Authorship (2)

- Under most jurisdictions, an author must be a legal person
- Chatbots do not meet authorship criteria:
 - Not being able to give "final approval of the version to be published"
 - "To be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved"
 - No AI tool can "understand" a conflict-of-interest statement
 - No AI tool has the legal standing to sign a statement
- Chatbots have no affiliation independent of their developers
- Since authors submitting a manuscript must ensure that all those named as authors meet the authorship criteria, chatbots cannot be included as authors



Transparency and acknowledgment

- Authors should be transparent when chatbots are used and provide information about how they were used
- All prompts:
 - Used to generate new text, or to convert text or text prompts into tables or illustrations, should be specified
 - Used to carry out or generate analytical work, help report results (eg generating tables or figures), or write computer codes, should be stated in the body of the paper, in both the **Abstract** and **Methods** sections
- In enabling scientific scrutiny, including replication and identifying falsification, the full prompt used to generate the research results, the time and date of query, and the AI tool used and its version, should be provided



Attribution

- Must ensure that the content reflects the author's data and ideas and is not plagiarism, fabrication or falsification
 - Otherwise, it is potentially scientific misconduct to offer such material for publication, irrespective of how it was written
- All quoted material is appropriately attributed, including full citations, and the cited sources support the chatbot's statements
- Chatbots are designed to omit sources that oppose viewpoints expressed in their output, it is the author's responsibility to find, review, and include such counterviews in their articles
- Identify the chatbot used and the specific prompt (query statement) used with the chatbot
- Authors should specify what they have done to mitigate the risk of plagiarism, provide a balanced view, and ensure the accuracy of all their references



Editor's and peer reviewer's responsibilities

- Reviewers are responsible for the content of their review reports and for adhering to Confidentiality Policies
- Chatbots retain the prompts fed to them, including manuscript content, and supplying an author's manuscript to a chatbot breaches confidentiality of the submitted manuscript



Appropriate tools

- Editors need appropriate tools to help them detect content generated or altered by AI
- Such tools should be made available to editors
 - For free
 - For the good of science and the public
 - To help ensure the integrity of information and reduce the risk of adverse outcomes
- Editors are already at a disadvantage when trying to differentiate the legitimate from the fabricated
- Chatbots take this challenge to a new level









Grammar and Writing Done Right

Trinka is an online grammar checker and language correction AI tool for academic and technical writing.





Scribbr Proofreading & Editing - Plaglarism Checker Citation To



Login

Our Accurate AI Checker, Plagiarism Checker and Fact Checker Lets You Publish with Integrity

Dricing

At Originality.ai we provide a complete toolset that helps Website Owners, Content Marketers, Writers and Publishers hit Publish with Integrity in the world of Generative All





Proofreading & Editing Get expert help from Scribbr's academic editors, who will proofread and edit your essay, paper, or dissertation to perfection.

DOAJ

Plagiarism Checker

Detect and resolve unintentional plagiarism with the Scribbr Plagiarism Checker, so you can submit your paper with confidence.

Cal





GPTZero

Login

Decources

Sign Up

Preserve What's Human

Since inventing AI detection, GPTZero incorporates the latest research in detecting ChatGPT, GPT4, Google-Gemini, LLaMa, and new AI models, and investigating their sources.

SOLUTIONS ~ RESOURCES ~ PRICING

NEWS

TEAM



recite Reference checking made easy



Reference checking made easy

What does recite do?

Very simply. Recite checks that your in text citations match the reference list at the end of your work.

First, Recite checks that the authors and dates in the body of your work match up with the references at the end. Then Recite tells you where it finds errors.

Recite also checks for a growing list of stylistic errors related to referencing.

Explore a demo paper that shows what Recite can do.

Conclusion and final remarks

- Editorial policies aids editors in making editorial decisions
- Policies protect editors, authors, reviewers
- They make the rules of engagement explicit when they need to be and can be safely in the background at other times



Questions?

Susan Veldsman Director Scholarly Publishing Programme Academy of Science of South Africa (ASSAf) susan@assaf.org.za





